

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,957	06/25/2001	Russell K. Johnson	98-1014	1419
7590 08/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Keith D. Nelson			MAI, TAN V	
Lockheed Mart	tin Corporation		<del></del>	
Bulding 220, Mail Stop A08			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 49041			2124	
San Jose, CA 95161-9041			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/888,957	JOHNSON, RUSSELL K.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tan V Mai	2124			
<i>The</i> Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication ply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address –			
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period of the service of the ser	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1000 for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peoply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staived by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N). R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>5 June 2001</u> .				
2a)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) O 5)⊠ Clain 6)⊡ Clain	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∏ Clain	n(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Pa		·i				
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
• •	cement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
	ath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)□ All 1.□ 2.□	by b	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application	ion No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		BEST AVAILA	ABLE COPY			
Attachment(s)	forences Cited (DTO 900)	0 🗆	(DTO 140)			
	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			
3) 🔲 Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/888,957

Art Unit: 2124

 This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

(1). The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 2, line 26; "polyhase filter" is misspelled.

Page 3, line 13; "Fig. 2" should be -Fig. 3--.

(2). The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because superfluous language is used in this paragraph (i.e., "[t]he present invention extends". Correction is required.

See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest the adaptive filter / filtering method having "plurality of **filter banks** that <u>each</u> comprise a <u>plurality</u> of polyphase filters" "feature as recited in independent claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/888,957

Art Unit: 2124

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final (703) 746-7238

Official (703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI